Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Forest Heath District Council

Title of Report:		d Young – of claims and ual report 2016-			
Report No:	PAS/FH/18/004				
Report to and dates:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	31 January 2018			
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email : <u>Stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk</u>				
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: <u>Rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>				
Purpose of report:	To update members on the outcome of the annual certification of grant claims by Ernst and Young (our external auditors) as detailed in their Certification of claims and returns annual report 2016/2017, attached at Appendix A.				
Recommendation:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee: Members are asked to <u>note</u> the Certification of claims and returns annual report 2016-2017.				

Key Decision:	Is this a	Kay De	cicion ar	d if so ur	der which		
Rey Decision.		<i>Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition?</i>					
(Check the appropriate	Yes it is	Yes, it is a Key Decision -					
box and delete all those		No, it is not a Key Decision - \boxtimes					
that <u>do not</u> apply.)							
Consultation: • N/A			l l				
Alternative option(s): • N/A							
Implications:							
Are there any financial implications?			Yes 🛛 No 🗆				
If yes, please give details			• The report includes the final fee for				
			certification of the 2016/2017				
			grant claims (£15,203).				
Are there any staffing implications?			Yes 🗆 No 🖂				
If yes, please give details			•				
Are there any ICT i	,	If	Yes □ No ⊠				
yes, please give details		•					
Are there any legal and/or policy		Yes 🛛 No 🗆					
implications? If yes, please give		 External audit is a statutory 					
details		function.					
Are there any equa	lity implicat	ions?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂				
If yes, please give details		•					
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)					
Risk area	Inherent le	vel of	Control	S	Residual risk (after		
	risk (before				controls)		
	controls)	1 11 - I- ¥			Law (Madison / Linds ¥		
Errors identified as a	Low/Medium/ Mediun		Perform e	arly	Low/Medium/ High* Low		
result of 2015/2016	Meului			testing in	LOW		
testing may have			those areas where				
been replicated in			errors were				
2016/2017			identified				
Ward(s) affected:		N/A					
Background papers:		None					
(all background papers are to be							
<i>published on the website and a link included)</i>							
Documents attached:		Appendix A – Ernst and Young					
		Certification of claims and returns					
		annual report 2016/2017					

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 Ernst and Young (EY) has issued at **Appendix A**, an annual report on the Certification of Claims and Returns for 2016/2017. This report summarises the results of the certification work undertaken by EY staff as part of the annual audit of grant claims to government departments and sets out the scale fee for carrying out this work.
- 1.2 Funding from government departments is an important income stream for the Council which has to put in place procedures to ensure that it has met the conditions attached to the funding and, in some cases, must complete returns providing financial information to support the claims. The government departments may require appropriately qualified auditors to certify the claims.
- 1.3

From 1 April 2015, the duty to make arrangements for the certification of relevant claims and returns, and to prescribe scales of fees for this work, was delegated to the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.

- 1.4 For 2016-2017, these arrangements required only the certification of the housing benefits subsidy claim with a total value of £15.014 million.
- 1.5 The certification work found errors on the claim and these errors have been corrected by officers. A qualification letter setting out further errors and uncertainties was also reported to the Department for Works and Pensions (DWP). The relevant officers of the Council and Anglia Revenues Partnership (ARP) have agreed the qualifications in respect of the 2016-2017 certification work, as detailed in **Appendix A**. ARP has taken action to address the issues for 2017-2018.
- 1.6 In addition, the PSAA determine a scale fee each year for the audit of claims and returns. For 2016-17, the indicative scale fee for the audit of the Housing benefits subsidy claim was £15,203 and the actual fee will be unchanged from this level. For 2017-18, the indicative scale fee has been set at £12,442.
- 1.7

EY have made no recommendations to Members as a result of the audit.